

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/494,199	01/28/2000	Ramin Rezaiifar	PA000090CIP	3141
23696	7590 04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM, INC 5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M	
SAN DIEGO,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/494,199	REZAIIFAR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Justin M. Philpott	2616			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHI - Extra afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not so time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposif	tion of Claims					
		• •	•			
لط(₹	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-26 is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 27-29</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
-	·		Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau		٠			
,	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive				
Attachmei	nt(s)	•.				
1) 🛛 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (F 10-102)			

Application/Control Number: 09/494,199 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 11-18 (and specifically, pages 11-13) of the Appeal Brief, filed February 21, 2006, with respect to claims 1-29 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejection of claims 1-29 has been withdrawn, and prosecution is hereby reopened.
- 2. With respect to claims 3, 4 and 27-29, a new rejection is made in the following office action in view of newly discovered prior art.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities: "PPP" (claim 3, line 5) should be changed to "point-to-point (PPP)", "PDSN" (claim 3, line 6) should be changed to "packet data switched network (PDSN)", and "radio-access-network-PDSN" (claim 27, line 6) should be changed to "radio-access-network/packet-data-switched network (PDSN)".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 09/494,199

Art Unit: 2616

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,765,909 to Sen et al.

Regarding claim 3, Sen teaches maintaining a reduced entry PPP connection table (e.g., see col. 6, lines 30-38 regarding "connection number table" for the "PPP session" comprising new packet data flow ID) that includes radio access network (RAN) (e.g., see col. 4, lines 50-61 regarding the system comprising "Radio Access Network" communications) packet data switched network (PDSN) (e.g., see col. 6, lines 39-45 regarding the system including "PDSN" communications) interface (RPI) communication pipe identifiers (e.g., see col. 6, lines 30-45 regarding connection numbers; see also abstract and col. 3, line 15 – col. 7, line 3).

Regarding claim 4, Sen teaches the reduced entries (e.g., new packet data flow ID) do not include Service Request Identifiers (e.g., see col. 6, lines 30-38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,774,805 to Zicker.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 27, Zicker teaches updating dormant network connection information associated with a mobile station (e.g., see col. 8, line 64 – col. 9, line 17 regarding "Dormant channel list 70 is a data list stored in memory 46 (see FIG. 4) and updated from time to time"), wherein the dormant network connection information (e.g., "dormant channel list 70") is information relating to a connection that is not being used to transmit traffic channel data (e.g., see col. 8, line 64 – col. 9, line 17 regarding "List 70 identifies the channels ... found to be dormant or otherwise currently unused"). Further, since Zicker teaches the above-mentioned functions are "tasks" performed by devices, which is known in the art to comprise a processorreadable medium and a processor having instructions, they are implicitly performed by a processor-readable-medium accessible by a processor and containing a set of executable instructions executable by the processor. Finally, while Zucker may not specifically disclose the processor is coupled to a radio-access-network/PDSN channel interface, Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art of mobile communications for a mobile communication device to be coupled to a radio-access-network/PDSN channel interface. Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform the processes of Zicker in a device coupled to a radio-access-network/PDSN channel interface since it is well known in the art of mobile communications for a mobile communication device to be coupled to a radio-access-network/PDSN channel interface.

Regarding claim 28, Zicker teaches the dormant network connection information (e.g., "dormant channel list 70") does not include service reference identifiers (e.g., see col. 8, line 64 – col. 9, line 17).

Regarding claim 29, while Zicker may not specifically disclose the invention comprises first and second infrastructure elements that are packet data service nodes, in a radio-access-network/PDSN channel interface such as discussed above regarding claim 27, infrastructure elements implicitly include PDSN nodes. Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize PDSN nodes as first and second infrastructure elements when a device is coupled to a radio-access-network/PDSN channel interface as discussed above regarding claim 27.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1, 2 and 5-21 are allowed.
- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: independent claims 1, 5, 9, 15 and 21 each recite particular methods or devices which include transmitting through a network a message that includes a number of dormant network connections associated with a mobile station and a reduced list of identifiers associated with the dormant network connections, wherein such particular methods or devices were not found in a search of the prior art. In particular, applicant's arguments at pages 11-13 of the Appeal Brief, filed February 21, 2006 were persuasive in overcoming prior art rejections and distinguishing claims 1, 5, 9, 15 and 21 from the prior art. Claims 2, 6-8, 10-14, 16-20 and 22-26 depend upon one of claims 1, 5, 9, 15 and 21, and are therefore allowed for the same reasons discussed above regarding claims 1, 5, 9, 15 and 21.
- 10. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Application/Control Number: 09/494,199

Art Unit: 2616

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Page 6

Allowance."

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Justin M. Philpott whose telephone number is 571.272.3162. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571.272.3179. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Justin M. Philpott

CHI PHAM
DEBUISORY PATENT EXAM